| 1<br>2<br>3 | WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE<br>AND DORR LLP<br>John A. Rogovin ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )<br>Randolph D. Moss ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )<br>Samir C. Jain (# 181572) | MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP<br>Henry Weissmann (# 132418)<br>Susan R. Szabo (# 155315)<br>Aimee A. Feinberg (# 223309)<br>355 South Grand Avenue |
|-------------|---|---|
| 4           | Brian M. Boynton (# 222193) Catherine M.A. Carroll (pro hac vice)   | 35th Floor<br>Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  |
| 5           | 1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW<br>Washington, DC 20006   | Tel.: 213-683-9100<br>Fax: 213-683-5150   |
| 6           | Tel.: 202-663-6000<br>Fax: 202-663-6363<br>Email: john.rogovin@wilmerhale.com   | Email: Henry.Weissmann@mto.com  |
| 7           | Randal S. Milch (pro hac vice)  |   |
| 8           | Verizon Communications Inc. One Verizon Way   |   |
| 10          | VC43E043<br>Basking Ridge, NJ 07920<br>Tel.: 908-559-1752   |   |
| 11          | Fax: 908-696-2136   |   |
| 12          | Attorneys for Verizon Communications Inc.   |   |
| 13          | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |
| 14          | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 15          | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  |   |
| 16          | In re:  | MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791 - VRW  |
| 17          | NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  | STIPULATION AND [   |
| 18<br>19    | TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS<br>LITIGATION  | ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR VERIZON DEFENDANTS TO  |
|             |   | RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS   |
| 20   21     | This Document Relates To:   | (Civil L.R. 6-2, 7-1(5), 7-12)  |
| 22          | United States v. Rabner, No. 07-1324;<br>United States v. Palermino, No. 07-1326;   | ) Courtroom: 6, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor<br>) Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  |
| 23          | United States v. Volz, No. 07-1396; and, United States v. Adams, No. 07-1323.   | )<br>)  |
| 24          |   |   |
| 25          |   |   |
| 26          |   |   |
| 27          |   |   |
| 28          |   |   |

## 

## RECITALS

- A. On September 1, 2006, the Court granted the Administrative Motion of AT&T and Verizon Defendants to Vacate Pending Filing Deadlines in Cases Transferred by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. In that Order, the Court stated "[a]ll pending filing deadlines for cases transferred to this court pursuant to MDL 1791 are hereby vacated until further order of court." Dkt. 19.
- B. On February 15, 2007, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ordered the transfer of *United States v. Palermino*, No. 07-1326; *United States v. Adams*, No. 07-1323; *United States v. Gaw*, No. 07-1242; *Clayton v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.*, No. 07-1187; *United States v. Rabner*, No. 07-1324; and *United States v. Volz*, No. 07-1396 (the "Federal-State Cases") to this Court for inclusion in MDL 1791. Dkt. 173.
- C. On July 24, 2007, the Court denied without prejudice the United States' motions for summary judgment and denied as moot the State Defendants' motions for summary judgment in the Federal-State Cases. The Court further ordered that "[a]fter the Ninth Circuit issues an order in Hepting, the parties may renotice their cross motions." Dkt. 334.
- D. On August 20, 2007, the Court entered an Order providing that the AT&T and Cingular defendants in the Federal-State Cases need not respond to the complaints until further order of the Court. Dkt. 352.
- E. Both judicial and party economy are served by similarly deferring the Verizon Defendants' obligation to respond to the complaints in *Palermino*, *Adams*, *Rabner*, and *Volz* until further order of the Court after the Ninth Circuit has provided further guidance and this Court has considered and resolved any renewal of the cross motions originally heard on June 21, 2007.

## **STIPULATION**

The United States and the Verizon Defendants hereby stipulate that the Verizon Defendants need not answer or otherwise respond to the complaints in *Palermino*, *Adams*, *Rabner*, and *Volz* until a time determined by further order of this Court

Respectfully submitted, WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE Dated: August 23, 2007 AND DORR LLP MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP Randal S. Milch /s/ John A. Rogovin By: John A. Rogovin Attorneys for Defendant Verizon Communications Inc. 

## DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B

I, John A. Rogovin, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from the other signatory listed below.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

Executed on August 23, 2007, at Washington, D.C.

6

1

2

3

4

5

/s/ John A. Rogovin 7 John A. Rogovin 8

9 Dated: August 23, 2007

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PETER D. KEISLER Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division CARL J. NICHOLS Deputy Assistant Attorney General DOUGLAS N. LETTER

**Terrorism Litigation Counsel** 

JOSEPH H. HUNT

Director, federal Programs Branch ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO Special Litigation Counsel

ANDREW H. TANNENBAUM

Trial Attorney

**ALEXANDER HAAS** 

Trial Attorney

U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.

Room 6102

Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: (202) 514-4782

Fax: (202) 616-8460

Email: tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov

By: /s/ Alexander Haas

Alexander Haas

Attorneys for United States of America

27

28

ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The Verizon Defendants need not answer or otherwise respond to the complaints in *United States v. Palermino*, No. 07-1326; *United States v. Adams*, No. 07-1323; *United States v. Rabner*, No. 07-1324; and *United States v. Volz*, No. 07-1396 until further order of the Court.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2007.

Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

United States District Chief Judge